UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,788	59,788 10/24/2006 Hideo Imaizumi		14225-095US1 F1040665WO00	6565
26211 FISH & RICHA	7590 04/09/2009 ARDSON P.C.		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022			KALAM, ABUL	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/569,788	IMAIZUMI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Abul Kalam	2814	

		Abul Kalam	2814	
The M	AILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILEI	D <u>13 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
application, a application in	s filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on applicant must timely file one of the following in a condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe d Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The perion no event, Examiner	od for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this Ad for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time m have been filed is the under 37 CFR 1.17(a set forth in (b) above	GOF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(flay be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of date for purposes of determining the period of extal is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of filing the Not	of Appeal was filed on A brief in complice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter peal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
(a) They race (b) They race (c) They a appea	ed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be aise new issues that would require further con aise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below are not deemed to place the application in bett al; and/or present additional claims without canceling a contraction	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying th	
4. The amendr 5. Applicant's	E: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). ments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 reply has overcome the following rejection(s): osed or amended claim(s) would be all a claim(s)			·
7. For purpose: how the new The status o Claim(s) allo Claim(s) obje Claim(s) reje	s of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [or amended claims would be rejected is proven fithe claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: wed: ected to:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
because app	THER EVIDENCE or other evidence filed after a final action, but blicant failed to provide a showing of good and er presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit entered beca	or other evidence filed after the date of filing a ause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o bood and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
	it or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>ECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. 🛛 The reques	t for reconsideration has been considered but uation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the att	tached Information <i>Discl</i> os <i>ure Statement</i> (s).(·	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Phat X. Cao/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2814	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that in Fig. 4A of the Oka et al. patent, the distantce between the resonator 7 and the gate 40 is shorter than the distance between the integrated circuit 3 and the gate 40. The Applicant further argues the integrated circuit 3 cannot be correspond to the SAW filter of claim 16 because Oka et al. patent describes the integrated circuit 3 as "including an oscillating circuit." The Office respectfully disagrees. It is well known and typicall in the art to use SAW filters in oscillating circuits. Applicant also argues that the combination of Furukawa and Oka et al would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because placement of electrical components, especially high frequency components and filters, in an electrical circuit is not a trivial matter. The argument is not persuasive because it does not address the motivation provided by the Office, in which Oka states (col. 8, Ins. 47-51): "the molding resin collides with the end portion 41 of the case of the quartz-crystal resonator 7 to uniformly distribute the molding resin."